

GEOMETRY OF k -LAGRANGE SPACES OF SECOND ORDER

Mihai Anastasiei and Irena Čomić

Introduction

Let M be a smooth manifold coordinated by (U, x^1, \dots, x^n) and $x^i = x^i(t^1, \dots, t^k)$, $\text{rank} \left(\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial t^\alpha} \right) = k$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, k$ its k -dimensional submanifold, $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$. The Latin indices will range from 1 to n and the Greek indices will run from 1 to k . The Einstein convention on summation will work for both kinds of indices.

A real valued smooth function $L \left(x^i, \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial t^\alpha}, \frac{\partial^2 x^i}{\partial t^\alpha \partial t^\beta} \right)$ will be called a k -Lagrangian of second order.

For an open set \mathcal{O} in the range of parameters (t^1, \dots, t^k) with the property that its closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is compact, one considers the multiple integral $\int_{\overline{\mathcal{O}}} L \left(x^i(t), \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial t^\alpha}(t), \frac{\partial^2 x^i}{\partial t^\alpha \partial t^\beta}(t) \right) dt^{(\alpha)}$, where $dt^{(\alpha)} = dt^1 dt^2 \dots dt^k$.

One may ask to find among the k -submanifolds with the same frontier those which afford extremal values for the above multiple integral.

Our purpose is to provide a geometrization of the k -Lagrangians of second order as a framework of the variational problem sketched above.

First, we introduce in §1 a manifold $J_k^2 M$ fibered over M on which such Lagrangians are living.

In §2 we consider a nonlinear connection on $J_k^2 M$ and exhibit the basis adapted to it. Various geometrical structures on $J_k^2 M$ are pointed out, too. In §3 a special class of linear connections on $J_k^2 M$ is considered.

The geometry of the manifold $J_k^2 M$ is interesting for itself since for $k = 1$ it reduces to the manifold $\text{Osc}^2 M$, see R. Miron [3], and for $k = n$ it is the prolongation of second order of the frame manifold.

More facts from this geometry will appear elsewhere.

Communicated at the 11. Yugoslav Geometrical Seminar, Divčibare 1996.

1. Manifold $J_k^2 M$

Let M be a smooth manifold of dimension n and $J_{o,p}(\mathbf{R}^k, M)$ the set of germs of smooth mappings $f: \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow M$ with $f(0) = p \in M$. We say that $f, g \in J_{o,p}(\mathbf{R}^k, M)$ are equivalent up to order q if there exists a chart (U, φ) around p such that

$$d_0^h(\varphi \circ f) = d_0^h(\varphi \circ g), \quad 1 \leq h \leq q, \quad (1.1)$$

where d denotes Frechet differentiation. It can be seen that if (1.1) holds for a chart (U, φ) , it holds for any other chart (V, ψ) around p .

We denote by $j_{0,p}^q f$ the equivalence class of f (the coset of f) and set $J_{0,p}^q = \{j_{0,p}^q f, f \in J_{0,p}(\mathbf{R}^k, M)\}$. Then we put $J_k^q M = \bigcup_{p \in M} J_{0,p}^q$ and define $\pi: J_k^q M \rightarrow M$ by $\pi(J_{0,p}^q) = p$.

One can see that $J_k^q M$ has a structure of smooth manifold.

We notice that for $k = 1$, this manifold is just the manifold $\text{Osc}^q M$ studied by R. Miron [3], which reduces to the tangent manifold for $q = 1$. For $k = n$ and $q = 1$, we get the manifold of frames over M and for $k \in \{2, 3, \dots, n-1\}$ and $q = 1$ it can be identified with $TM \otimes \dots \otimes TM$ (k times) which is the manifold supporting the k -Lagrange geometry, see R. Miron, M. Kirkovits, Mihai Anastasiei [5].

For these reasons we confine ourselves to the cases $k = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$ and for the sake of simplicity we take $q = 2$. The case q greater than 2 can be similarly treated.

We also notice that $J_k^2 M$ is the manifold of 2-jets of the sections of the fibre bundle $\mathbf{R}^k \times M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$ but the theory of jets from the book by D.J. Saunders [6] cannot be applied since the typical fibre M of this bundle is too general.

Instead of that theory we follow the ideas and techniques from the k -Lagrange geometry and from the geometry of $\text{Osc}^q M$ spaces as well, see [1], [2], [4].

Let us return to (1.1) for $q = 2$. Letting $\varphi \circ f, \varphi \circ g: \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ as $f^i = f^i(t^1, \dots, t^k)$, $g^i = g^i(t^1, \dots, t^k)$ this condition becomes

$$f^i(0) = g^i(0) = \varphi(p), \quad \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial t^\alpha}(0) = \frac{\partial g^i}{\partial t^\alpha}(0), \quad \frac{\partial^2 f^i}{\partial t^\alpha \partial t^\beta}(0) = \frac{\partial^2 g^i}{\partial t^\alpha \partial t^\beta}(0), \quad (1.1')$$

for $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Let us set $\partial_i := \partial / \partial x^i$, $\partial_\alpha := \partial / \partial t^\alpha$.

Now, for another local chart (V, ψ) around p such that $\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}: x^{i'} = x^{i'}(x^1, \dots, x^n)$, $\text{rank} \left(\frac{\partial x^{i'}}{\partial x^k} \right) = n$, taking $\psi \circ f$ and $\psi \circ g$ as $f^{i'} = f^{i'}(t^1, \dots, t^p)$ and $g^{i'} = g^{i'}(t^1, \dots, t^p)$, respectively, we get $f^{i'} = x^{i'}(f^j(t^1, \dots, t^p))$, $g^{i'} = x^{i'}(g^j(t^1, \dots, t^p))$ as well as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f^{i'}}{\partial t^\alpha}(0) &= \frac{\partial x^{i'}}{\partial x^j}(\varphi(p)) \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial t^\alpha}(0), \\ \frac{\partial^2 f^{i'}}{\partial t^\alpha \partial t^\beta} &= \frac{\partial^2 x^{i'}}{\partial x^j \partial x^k}(\varphi(p)) \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial t^\alpha}(0) \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial t^\beta}(0) + \frac{\partial x^{i'}}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial^2 f^j}{\partial t^\alpha \partial t^\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

By (1.2) the independence of (1.1) on the chosen local chart follows.

For $f: \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow M$ with $f(0) = \varphi(p)(x^1, \dots, x^n)$ we set $y_\alpha^i = \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial t^\alpha}(0)$, $z_{\alpha\beta}^i = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f^i}{\partial t^\alpha \partial t^\beta}(0)$ and define a mapping $\phi: \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \varphi(U) \times \mathbf{R}^{kn} \times \mathbf{R}^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}n}$ by $\phi([f]_p) = (x^i, y_\alpha^i, z_{\alpha\beta}^i)$.

The mapping ϕ is invertible, its inverse associating to $(x^i, y_\alpha^i, z_{\alpha\beta}^i)$ the coset of the mapping $\varphi^{-1} \circ T$, where T is the Taylor polynomial $x^i + y_\alpha^i t^\alpha + z_{\alpha\beta}^i t^\alpha t^\beta$. If we similarly define ψ in connection with the local chart (V, ψ) , $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$ has the following form

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x^{i'} &= x^{i'}(x^i, \dots, x^n), \text{rank} \left(\frac{\partial x^{i'}}{\partial x^i} \right) = n \\ y_\alpha^{i'} &= \frac{\partial x^{i'}}{\partial x^i} y_\alpha^i, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, k \\ z_{\alpha\beta}^{i'} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 x^{i'}}{\partial x^j \partial x^k} y_\alpha^j y_\beta^k + \frac{\partial x^{i'}}{\partial x^j} z_{\alpha\beta}^j \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.3)$$

It results that $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$ is smooth. Thus $\{(\pi^{-1}(U), \phi)\}$ associated to the atlas $\{(U, \varphi)\}$ on M provides a smooth manifold structure for $J_k^2 M$. At the same time (1.3) gives the allowable coordinate transformations with respect to the fibration $\pi: J_k^2 M \rightarrow M$. This fibration is a locally trivial bundle with typical fibre $\mathbf{R}^{kn} \times \mathbf{R}^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}n}$. The bundle chart associated to (U, φ) is $(\pi^{-1}(U), \bar{\phi})$ where $\bar{\phi}: \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbf{R}^{kn} \times \mathbf{R}^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}n}$, $\bar{\phi}([f]_p) = (p, y_\alpha^i, z_{\alpha\beta}^i)$.

We notice that $\pi: J_k^2 M \rightarrow M$ is not a vector bundle although its typical fibre is so, because the mappings $\bar{\psi}_p \circ \bar{\phi}_p^{-1}$ are not linear. Here $\bar{\phi}_p$ is the restriction of $\bar{\phi}$ to the fibre $\pi^{-1}(p)$ and $\bar{\psi}, \bar{\psi}_p$ are similarly constructed in connection with (V, ψ) .

The mapping $j_{0,p}^2 f \rightarrow j_{0,p}^1 f$ induces a mapping $\pi_{2,1}: J_k^2 M \rightarrow J_k^1 M$ which in the local charts previously introduced has the form $(x^i, y_\alpha^i, z_{\alpha\beta}^i) \mapsto (x^i, y_\alpha^i)$. Thus, it is a surjective submersion. We set $\pi_1: J_k^1 M \rightarrow M$ and so $\pi = \pi_1 \circ \pi_{2,1}$. For a local chart (U, φ) around $p \in M$, let $\phi_1: \pi_1^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbf{R}^{kn}$, $\phi_1(j_{0,p}^1 f) = (p, y_\alpha^i)$ and $\psi_1: \pi_1^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V \times \mathbf{R}^{kn}$ similarly associated to (V, ψ) . Then $\psi_{1,p} \circ \phi_{1,x}^{-1}: y_\alpha^{i'} = \frac{\partial x^{i'}}{\partial x^i} y_\alpha^i$ is a linear mapping from $\mathbf{R}^{kn} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{kn}$. Hence $(J_k^1 M, \pi_1, M)$ is a vector bundle of rank kn . Now let $\phi_2(j_{0,p}^2 f) = (p, j_{0,p}^1 f, z_{\alpha\beta}^i)$ and ψ_2 similarly defined in connection with (V, ψ) . Then $\psi_{2,(p,y)} \circ \phi_{2,(p,y)}^{-1}: z_{\alpha\beta}^{i'} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 x^{i'}}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} y_\alpha^i y_\beta^j + \frac{\partial x^{i'}}{\partial x^i} z_{\alpha\beta}^i$ with $y := j_{0,p}^1 f$ is an affine morphism of the space $\mathbf{R}^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}n}$ endowed with the standard affine structure. Hence $(J_k^2 M, \pi_{2,1}, J_k^1 M)$ is an affine bundle.

2. Nonlinear connections on $J_k^2 M$. Adapted basis

Let us put $E := J_k^2 M$ and let $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ be the canonical projection. Since π is a submersion, the linear spaces $V_u E := \ker \pi_{*,u}$, $u \in E$ define a distribution $V: u \rightarrow V_u E$ on E (vertical distribution).

DEFINITION. A nonlinear connection on $J_k^2 M =: E$ is a distribution $H: u \rightarrow H_u E$ on E which is supplementary to the vertical distribution i.e.

$$T_u E = H_u E \oplus V_u E \quad (\text{direct sum}), \quad (2.1)$$

holds for every $u \in E$.

Let us introduce the following notation:

$$\partial_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \quad \partial_i^\alpha := \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\alpha^i}, \quad \partial_i^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha\beta}^i} = \partial_i^{\beta\alpha}. \quad (2.2)$$

The natural basis \bar{B} of $T_u(J_k^2 M)$ is

$$\bar{B} = (\partial_i, \partial_i^\alpha, \partial_i^{\alpha\beta}). \quad (2.3)$$

If the change of coordinates (1.3) is performed, the elements of \bar{B} are transformed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i &= (\partial_i x^{i'}) \partial_{i'} + (\partial_i \partial_j x^{i'}) y_\alpha^j \partial_{i'}^\alpha + [2^{-1}(\partial_i \partial_j \partial_k x^{i'}) y_\alpha^j y_\beta^k + (\partial_i \partial_j x^{i'}) z_{\alpha\beta}^j] \partial_{i'}^{\alpha\beta}, \\ \partial_i^\alpha &= (\partial_i x^{i'}) \partial_{i'}^\alpha + (\partial_i \partial_j x^{i'}) y_\beta^j \partial_{i'}^{\alpha\beta}, \quad \partial_i^{\alpha\beta} = (\partial_i x^{i'}) \partial_{i'}^{\alpha\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

We note that the vertical distribution is locally spanned by $(\partial_i^\alpha, \partial_i^{\alpha\beta})$. For each $\alpha \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ we define a linear operator $\overset{\alpha}{J}: T_u E \rightarrow T_u E$ on basis \bar{B} as follows:

$$\overset{\alpha}{J}(\partial_i) = \partial_i^\alpha, \quad \overset{\alpha}{J}(\partial_i^\beta) = \partial_i^{\alpha\beta}, \quad \overset{\alpha}{J}(\partial_i^{\beta\gamma}) = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

One checks using (2.4) that $\overset{\alpha}{J}$ is well-defined. Also, one easily verifies

$$\overset{\alpha}{J} \circ \overset{\beta}{J} = \overset{\beta}{J} \circ \overset{\alpha}{J}, \quad \overset{\alpha}{J} \circ \overset{\alpha}{J} \circ \overset{\gamma}{J} = 0, \quad \overset{\alpha}{J}^3 = 0, \quad \text{for every } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}. \quad (2.6)$$

Thus $\overset{\alpha}{J}$ is a 3-tangent structure on E and so $J_k^2 M$ is endowed with k natural 3-tangent structures which commute with each other.

The restriction of $\pi_{*,u}$ to $T_u E$ is an isomorphism $H_u \rightarrow T_{\pi(u)} M$. Denoting by h its inverse and setting $\delta_i = h(\partial_i)$ one gets a local basis of the horizontal distribution. Since $\pi_*(\delta_i) = \partial_i$, the local vector fields δ_i will have the form

$$\delta_i = \partial_i - N_{i\alpha}^j(x, y, z) \partial_{j\alpha} - N_{i\alpha\beta}^j(x, y, z) \partial_{j\alpha\beta}^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (2.7)$$

where the minus sign is taken for convenience and because of $\delta_i = (\partial_i x^{i'}) \delta_{i'}$, the functions $N_{i\alpha}^j, N_{i\alpha\beta}^j$ have to satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} N_{i'\alpha}^{j'}(\partial_i x^{i'}) &= (\partial_j x^{j'}) N_{i\alpha}^j - \partial_i(y_\alpha^{j'}) \\ N_{i'\alpha\beta}^{j'}(\partial_i x^{i'}) &= (\partial_j x^{j'}) N_{i\alpha\beta}^j + N_{i'\gamma}^j \partial_j^\gamma(z_{\alpha\beta}^{j'}) - \partial_i z_{\alpha\beta}^{j'}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Conversely, a set of functions $N = (N_{i\alpha}^j(x, y, z), N_{i\alpha\beta}^j(x, y, z))$ verifying (2.8) completely determine (δ_i) which in turn defines a nonlinear connection on E .

Now if we consider $\delta_i^\alpha := \bar{J}(\delta_i) = \partial_i^\alpha - N_{i\beta}^j \partial_j^{\alpha\beta}$ for all $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, we get kn linearly independent local vector fields verifying,

$$\delta_i^\alpha = (\partial_i x^{i'}) \delta_i^\alpha, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, k. \quad (2.9)$$

Setting $H_u E := N_0(u)$, from the above it follows that (δ_i^α) span a subdistribution $N_1(u)$ of V and $\delta_i^{\alpha\beta} := (\bar{J} \circ J)(\delta_i)$ span a second subdistribution $N_2(u)$ of V . Clearly, we have

$$T_u E = N_0(u) \oplus N_1(u) \oplus N_2(u), \quad u \in E. \quad (2.10)$$

Notice that each distribution N_1 and N_2 decomposes in k and, respectively, $k(k+1)/2$ n -dimensional distributions.

The adapted basis with respect to the decomposition (2.10) is

$$B = (\delta_i, \delta_i^\alpha, \delta_i^{\alpha\beta}); \quad \delta_i^{\alpha\beta} = \partial_i^{\alpha\beta} = \partial_i^{\beta\alpha}. \quad (2.11)$$

Notice that we have

$$\delta_i = (\partial_i x^{i'}) \delta_i, \quad \delta_i^\alpha = (\partial_i x^{i'}) \delta_{i'}^\alpha, \quad \delta_i^{\alpha\beta} = (\partial_i x^{i'}) \delta_{i'}^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (2.12)$$

These equations provide the main advantage of B when comparing with \bar{B} .

The dual basis of \bar{B} is $\bar{B}^* = (dx^i, dy_\alpha^i, dz_{\alpha\beta}^i)$. By the change of coordinates (1.3) the elements of \bar{B}^* are transformed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} dx^{i'} &= (\partial_i x^{i'}) dx^i, \\ dy_\alpha^{i'} &= (\partial_i \partial_j x^{i'}) y_\alpha^j dx^i + (\partial_i x^{i'}) dy_\alpha^i, \\ dz_{\alpha\beta}^{i'} &= (\partial_i z_{\alpha\beta}^{i'}) dx^i + (\partial_j^\gamma z_{\alpha\beta}^{i'}) dy_\gamma^j + (\partial_i^{\gamma\delta} z_{\alpha\beta}^{i'}) dz_{\gamma\delta}^i \\ &= [2^{-1} (\partial_i \partial_j \partial_h x^{i'}) y_\alpha^j y_\beta^h + (\partial_i \partial_j x^{i'}) z_{\alpha\beta}^j] dx^i \\ &\quad + 2^{-1} (\partial_j \partial_h x^{i'}) (y_\beta^h dy_\alpha^j + y_\alpha^h dy_\beta^j) + (\partial_i x^{i'}) dz_{\alpha\beta}^i. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

The dual basis of B is $B^* = (dx^j, \delta y_\alpha^j, \delta z_{\alpha\beta}^j)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta y_\alpha^j &= dy_\alpha^j + M_{i\alpha}^j dx^i \\ \delta z_{\alpha\beta}^j &= dz_{\alpha\beta}^j + M_{i\alpha\beta}^{j\gamma} dy_\gamma^i + M_{i\alpha\beta}^j dx^i. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

The functions M are, for the time being, undetermined.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *The necessary and sufficient conditions for the basis B and B^* to be dual to each other (when \bar{B} and \bar{B}^* are dual) are the following equations:*

$$\begin{aligned} M_{i\alpha}^j &= N_{i\alpha}^j, \\ M_{i\alpha\beta}^{j\gamma} &= N_{i\alpha}^j \text{ for } \gamma = \beta \text{ and zero for } \beta \neq \gamma, \\ M_{i\alpha\beta}^j &= N_{i\alpha\beta}^j + N_{h\alpha}^j N_{i\beta}^h. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

The proof follows by a straightforward calculation.

In the following we shall need the formulae which express the elements of \bar{B} as functions of elements of B . These are getting in the form

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_i &= \delta_i + N_{i\alpha}^j \delta_j^\alpha + (N_{i\alpha}^h N_{h\beta}^j + N_{i\alpha\beta}^j) \delta_h^{\alpha\beta}, \\ \partial_i^\alpha &= \delta_i^\alpha + N_{i\beta}^j \delta_j^{\alpha\beta}, \\ \partial_i^{\alpha\beta} &= \delta_i^{\alpha\beta}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.16}$$

We shall need also the brackets of vector fields from B

$$\begin{aligned}[\delta_i^{\alpha\beta}, \delta_j^{\varepsilon\gamma}] &= 0, \\ [\delta_i^\alpha, \delta_j^{\beta\gamma}] &= \partial_j^{\beta\gamma}(N_{i\varepsilon}^h) \delta_h^{\alpha\varepsilon}, \\ [\delta_i, \delta_j^{\beta\gamma}] &= \partial_j^{\beta\kappa}(N_{i\alpha}^k) \delta_k^\alpha + [\partial_j^{\beta\kappa}(N_{i\alpha}^k) N_{k\varepsilon}^h + \partial_j^{\beta\kappa}(N_{i\alpha\varepsilon}^h)] \delta_h^{\alpha\varepsilon}, \\ [\delta_i^\alpha, \delta_j^\beta] &= [\partial_j^\beta(N_{i\gamma}^k) - N_{i\varepsilon}^h \partial_h^{\beta\varepsilon}(N_{i\gamma}^k)] \partial_k^{\alpha\gamma} - [\partial_i^\alpha(N_{j\varepsilon}^h) - N_{j\varepsilon}^h \partial_h^{\beta\varepsilon}(N_{i\gamma}^k)] \partial_k^{\beta\gamma}, \\ [\delta_i, \delta_j] &= R_{ij\alpha}^k \partial_k^\alpha + \bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}^k \partial_k^{\alpha\beta} = R_{ij\alpha}^k \delta_k^\alpha + (\bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}^k - N_{h\beta}^k R_{ij\alpha}^h) \partial_k^{\alpha\beta},\end{aligned}\tag{2.17}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}R_{ij\alpha}^k &= \delta_j(N_{i\alpha}^k) - \delta_i(N_{j\alpha}^k), \\ \bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}^k &= \delta_j(N_{i\alpha\beta}^k) - \delta_i(N_{j\alpha\beta}^k).\end{aligned}\tag{2.18}$$

From (2.17) one reads

PROPOSITION 2.2. *The horizontal distribution $u \mapsto N_0(u)$, $u \in E$ is integrable if and only if*

$$R_{ij\alpha}^k = 0, \quad \bar{R}_{ij\alpha\beta}^k = 0.\tag{2.19}$$

By (1.3) and (2.4) it follows that $\Gamma^{(\beta)} = y_\alpha^i \partial_i^{\alpha\beta}$ are k -vector fields globally defined on $J_k^2 M$. They are similar to the Liouville vector field on TM .

3. Distinguished connections on $J_k^2 M$

Among the linear connections on $E = J_k^2 M$ those which preserve by parallelism the decomposition (2.11) are remarkable ones. They are useful especially when a calculation in local coordinates is performed.

Let $\mathcal{N}_0, \mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2$ be the sets of vector fields on E which take their values in the distributions N_0, N_1, N_2 , respectively.

DEFINITION 3.1. A linear connection D on E will be called a distinguished connection (d -connection, for brevity) if for any vector field $X \in \mathcal{X}(E)$ we have

$$(\forall Y \in \mathcal{N}_a) D_X Y \in \mathcal{N}_a, \quad a = 0, 1, 2.\tag{3.1}$$

By (2.21), $P(X) = X \iff X \in \mathcal{N}_0$, $P(X) = -X \iff X \in \mathcal{N}_1 \oplus \mathcal{N}_2$.

Using these formulae one finds

PROPOSITION 3.1. *A linear connection D on E is a d -connection if and only if $D_X P = 0$.*

In the basis B , a d -connection D takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\delta_j} \delta_i &= \overset{1}{F}_{ij}^k \delta_k, \quad D_{\delta_j^\alpha} \delta_i = \overset{1}{V}_{ij}^{k\alpha} \delta_k, \quad D_{\partial_j^\alpha \beta} \delta_i = \overset{1}{C}_{ij}^{k\alpha\beta} \delta_k, \\ D_{\delta_j} \delta_i^\alpha &= \overset{2}{F}_{ij\beta}^{k\alpha} \delta_k^\beta, \quad D_{\delta_j^\beta} \delta_i^\alpha = \overset{2}{V}_{ij\gamma}^{k\alpha\beta} \delta_k^\gamma, \quad D_{\partial_j^\beta \gamma} \delta_i^\alpha = \overset{2}{C}_{ij\epsilon}^{k\alpha\beta\gamma} \delta_k^\epsilon, \\ D_{\delta_j} \partial_i^{\alpha\beta} &= \overset{3}{F}_{ij\mu\nu}^{k\alpha\beta} \partial_k^{\mu\nu}, \quad D_{\delta_j^\gamma} \partial_i^{\alpha\beta} = \overset{3}{V}_{ij\mu\nu}^{k\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_k^{\mu\nu}, \quad D_{\partial_j^\epsilon} \partial_i^{\alpha\beta} = \overset{3}{C}_{ij\mu\nu}^{k\alpha\beta\gamma\epsilon} \partial_k^{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

DEFINITION 3.2. A d -connection D will be called strongly distinguished if $D\overset{\alpha}{J} = 0$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, k$.

A straightforward calculation gives

PROPOSITION 3.2. *A d -connection D is normal if and only if its local coefficients in (3.1) verify*

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{2}{F}_{ij\beta}^{k\alpha} &= \overset{1}{F}_{ij}^k \delta_\beta^\alpha, \quad \overset{3}{F}_{ij\mu\nu}^{k\alpha\beta} = \overset{1}{F}_{ij}^k \delta_\nu^\alpha \delta_\mu^\beta, \\ \overset{2}{V}_{ij\gamma}^{k\alpha\beta} &= \overset{1}{V}_{ij}^{k\beta} \delta_\gamma^\alpha, \quad \overset{3}{V}_{ij\mu\nu}^{k\alpha\gamma\beta} = \overset{1}{V}_{ij}^{k\beta} \delta_\nu^\alpha \delta_\mu^\gamma, \\ \overset{2}{C}_{ij\mu}^{k\alpha\beta\gamma} &= \overset{1}{C}_{ij}^{k\beta\gamma} \delta_\mu^\alpha, \quad \overset{3}{C}_{ij\mu\nu}^{k\alpha\beta\gamma\epsilon} = \overset{1}{C}_{ij}^{k\alpha\beta} \delta_\mu^\epsilon \delta_\nu^\gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Thus a normal d -connection is completely determined by the local coefficients $D\overset{\alpha}{F} = (\overset{1}{F}_{ij}^k, \overset{1}{V}_{ij}^{k\alpha}, \overset{1}{C}_{ij}^{k\alpha\beta})$.

When the local coordinates are changed by (1.3), these local coefficients are transformed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{1}{F}_{i'j'}^{k'} &= (\partial_{i'} x^i)(\partial_{j'} x^j)(\partial_k x^{k'}) \overset{1}{F}_{ij}^k - (\partial_{i'} x^i)(\partial_{j'} x^j) \frac{\partial^2 x^{k'}}{\partial x^{i'} \partial x^{j'}} \\ \overset{1}{V}_{i'j'}^{k'\alpha} &= (\partial_{i'} x^i)(\partial_{j'} x^j)(\partial_k x^{k'}) \overset{1}{V}_{ij}^{k\alpha} \\ \overset{1}{C}_{i'j'}^{k'\alpha\beta} &= (\partial_{i'} x^i)(\partial_{j'} x^j)(\partial_k x^{k'}) \overset{1}{C}_{ij}^{k\alpha\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Notice that $\overset{1}{V}$ and $\overset{1}{C}$ are tensor fields and $\overset{1}{F}$ changes like the coefficients of a linear connection.

From (2.8) one sees that if $(N_{i\alpha}^j(x, y, z))$ do not depend on z in a local chart, then this happens also in any other local chart. In other words, the property $\partial_k^{\beta\gamma} N_{i\alpha}^j = 0$ is a geometrical one. In fact, the functions $T_{ik\alpha}^{j\beta\kappa} = \partial_k^{\beta\kappa} N_{i\alpha}^j$, define a tensor field of type (1.2).

Using again (2.8) it follows that if $T_{ik\alpha}^{j\beta\gamma} = 0$ then $\partial_j^\alpha N_{i\alpha}^k$ and $\partial_j^{\alpha\beta} N_{i\alpha\beta}^k$ change under (1.3) as $\overset{1}{F}_{ij}^k$. Thus we have

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let $(N_{i\alpha}^k, N_{i\alpha\beta}^k)$ be a nonlinear connection on E . If $(N_{i\alpha}^k)$ do not depend on z then $B\overset{1}{\Gamma} = (\partial_j^\alpha N_{i\alpha}^k, 0, 0)$ and $B\overset{2}{\Gamma} = (\partial_j^{\alpha\beta} N_{i\alpha\beta}^k, 0, 0)$ are normal d -connections on E .*

The connections from Proposition 3.3 are similar with the Berwald connection from Finsler geometry.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Anastasiei, H. Kawaguchi, *Geometry of multiparametrized Lagrangians*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **42** (1993), 29–37.
- [2] I. Čomić, *The curvature theory of strongly distinguished connection in the recurrent k -Hamilton space*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **23** (3) (1992), 189–202.
- [3] R. Miron, *Spaces with higher order metric structures*, Tensor N.S. **53** (1993), 1–23.
- [4] R. Miron, M. Anastasiei, *The Geometry of Lagrange Space: Theory and Applications*, Kluwer Academic. Publ. FTPH 59, 1994.
- [5] R. Miron, M. Kirkovits, M. Anastasiei, *A geometrical model for variational problems of multiple integrals*, Proc. Conf. Diff. Geometry and Appl. Dubrovnik, Yugoslavia, 1988, 209–216.
- [6] D. J. Saunders, *The Geometry of Jet Bundles*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.

(received 27.12.1996.)

Faculty of Mathematics, University “Al. I. Cuza” Iași, 6600, Iași, Romania

Faculty of Technical Sciences, University of Novi Sad, 21 000, Novi Sad, Yugoslavia

e-mail: anastas@uaic.ro