

## NEARLY $pT_i$ -CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS

Milena Jelić

**Abstract.** Some generalizations of  $T_i$ -pairwise continuous functions and similar generalizations of pairwise  $T_i$ -spaces, for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  are introduced and their relationship with the concept of  $T_i$ -pairwise continuity is studied.

### 1. Introduction

In a bitopological space  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  a cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  is pairwise open if  $U \subset \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$  and if furthermore  $\mathcal{U}$  contains a non-empty member of  $\tau_1$  and a non-empty member of  $\tau_2$  [1]. A pairwise open cover is called  $pT_1$ -open. A pairwise open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of a bitopological space  $X$  is said to be  $pT_2$ -open if for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\tau_i\text{-int}(X \setminus U) \neq \emptyset$ , for  $i = 1$  or  $i = 2$ . A pairwise open cover  $\mathcal{W}$  of a bitopological space  $X$  is called  $pT_3$ -open if for each  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  whenever  $W \in \tau_i$ , there exist  $\tau_j$ -open sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  such that  $V_1, V_2 \neq \emptyset$ ,  $V_1 \subset \tau_i\text{-cl}V_1 \subset V_2 \subset X \setminus W$ , for  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$  [3]. A function  $f$  from a bitopological space  $X$  into a bitopological space  $Y$  is called  $T_i$ -pairwise continuous if for every  $pT_i$ -open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $Y$  there exists a  $\tau_k$ -open cover  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $X$  such that for every  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  there is a  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $f(W) \subset V$ , where  $k \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  [3]. Pairwise  $T_1, T_2$  and  $R_0$  axioms will be denoted by  $PT_1, PT_2$  and  $PR_0$  respectively [9,11].

### 2. Some new bitopological axioms

DEFINITION 2.1. A bitopological space  $X$  is  $mPT_1$  if for every pair of distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$  the following holds:  $\tau_1\text{-cl}\{x\} \cap \tau_2\text{-cl}\{y\} = \emptyset$  or  $\tau_2\text{-cl}\{x\} \cap \tau_1\text{-cl}\{y\} = \emptyset$  [5].

A bitopological space  $X$  is  $MNPT_1$  if for every pair of distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$  there exists a  $\tau_1$ -open set or a  $\tau_2$ -open set containing  $x$  but not  $y$  [6].

A bitopological space  $X$  is  $wPT_1$  if for each pair of distinct points there is a  $\tau_1$ -open set containing one of the points but not the other and a  $\tau_2$ -open set containing the second point but not the first [10].

---

*Keywords and phrases:* Nearly  $PT_i$ -spaces,  $PT(i, k)$ -spaces, nearly  $pT_i$ -continuous mappings  
*AMS Subject Classification (1980):* 54E55

This research was supported by Science Fund of Serbia, grant number 0401A, through Matematički Institut

DEFINITION 2.2. A bitopological space  $X$  is said to be a nearly  $PT_i$ -space (briefly  $nPT_i$ -space),  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , if for each point  $x \in X$  and a  $\tau_k$ -open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x$ ,  $k \in \{1, 2\}$ , there exists a  $pT_i$ -open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  such that  $St(x, \mathcal{U}) \subset V$ .

It is easy to verify that every  $PT_i$ -space is  $nPT_i$ , but the converse is not true in general, as it follows from

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{X, \emptyset, \{b, c\}\}$ . Then  $X$  is  $nPT_1$  but not  $MNPT_1$ . Hence it does not satisfy any of the axioms  $wPT_1$ ,  $mPT_1$  or  $PT_1$ . Also  $X$  is  $nPT_2$  but not  $wPT_2$ .

EXAMPLE 2. Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, c\}, \{b, a\}, \{a\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{b, c\}\}$ . Then  $X$  is  $MNPT_1$  but not  $nPT_1$ .

The following diagram of implications holds and none of these implications is reversible.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} mPT_1 & \longrightarrow & wPT_1 & \longrightarrow & MNPT_1 \\ & & \uparrow & & \\ & & PT_1 & \longrightarrow & nPT_1 \end{array}$$

Moreover, the axiom  $nPT_1$  is independent from any of  $MNPT_1$ ,  $wPT_1$  and  $mPT_1$ .

PROPOSITION 2.3. Every  $PR_0$  space is  $nPT_1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$  and let  $U$  be a  $\tau_i$ -open neighbourhood of  $x$  where  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Since  $X$  is  $PR_0$ , then  $\tau_j\text{-cl}\{x\} \subset U$ , for  $i \neq j$  and  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{U} = \{U, X \setminus \tau_j\text{-cl}\{x\}\}$  is a  $pT_1$ -open cover of the bitopological space  $X$  and  $St(x, \mathcal{U}) = U$ . Therefore  $X$  is an  $nPT_1$  space. ■

REMARK 1. The converse of Proposition 2.3 is not true in general, which follows from

EXAMPLE 3. Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ , and  $\tau_2 = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$ . Then  $X$  is  $nPT_1$  but not a  $PR_0$  space.

DEFINITION 2.4. A bitopological space  $X$  is said to be a  $PT(i, k)$  space,  $i, k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , if for every  $x \in X$  and every  $pT_k$ -open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  there exists a  $pT_i$ -open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $X$  and a  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $St(x, \mathcal{V}) \subset U$ .

It is easy to prove that the following diagram holds:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} PT(3, 3) & \longrightarrow & PT(2, 3) & \longrightarrow & PT(1, 3) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ PT(3, 2) & \longrightarrow & PT(2, 2) & \longrightarrow & PT(1, 2) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ PT(3, 1) & \longrightarrow & PT(2, 1) & \longrightarrow & PT(1, 1) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ nPT_3 & \longrightarrow & nPT_2 & \longrightarrow & nPT_1 \end{array}$$

The following example shows that any of  $PT(i, k)$  axioms does not imply  $nPT_j$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

EXAMPLE 4. Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, c\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{X, \emptyset, \{b, c\}\}$ . Then  $X$  is  $PT(3, 1)$  but not  $nPT_1$ .

### 3. Nearly $pT_i$ -continuous mappings

In the following properties, corollaries, examples and definition,  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $k \in \{1, 2\}$ .

DEFINITION 3.1. A mapping  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$  is said to be *nearly  $pT_i$ -continuous* at a point  $x \in X$  if for every  $pT_i$ -open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $Y$  there exists a  $\tau_k$ -open neighbourhood  $V \subset X$  of  $x$  such that  $f(V) \subset St(f(x), \mathcal{U})$ .

A mapping  $f$  is nearly  $pT_i$ -continuous if it is nearly  $pT_i$ -continuous at each point of  $X$ . It is evident that every  $T_i$ -pairwise continuous mapping is nearly  $pT_i$ -continuous, but the converse is not necessarily true in general, as the following example shows.

EXAMPLE 5. Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, d\}, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$ ,  $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$  and  $Y = \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{\emptyset, Y, \{1, 3\}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_2 = \{\emptyset, Y, \{2, 3\}\}$ . Define  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  as follows:  $f(a) = 2$ ,  $f(b) = 3 = f(c)$  and  $f(d) = 1$ . Then  $f$  is nearly  $pT_1$ -continuous but not  $T_1$ -pairwise continuous.

PROPOSITION 3.2. Let a bitopological space  $(Y, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$  be  $nPT_i$  and let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a nearly  $pT_i$ -continuous mapping from a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then  $f$  is  $p$ -continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $V \in \mathcal{U}_k$  be a neighbourhood of  $f(x) \in Y$ . Since  $Y$  is  $nPT_i$ , there exists a  $pT_i$ -open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $Y$  such that  $St(f(x), \mathcal{U}) \subset V$ . Since  $f$  is nearly  $pT_i$ -continuous, there exists a  $\tau_k$ -open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $f(U) \subset St(f(x), \mathcal{U}) \subset V$ . Thus  $f$  is  $p$ -continuous. ■

REMARK 2. A  $p$ -continuous mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , where  $Y$  is an  $nPT_i$  space, need not be nearly  $pT_i$ -continuous, which follows from

EXAMPLE 6. Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}\}$ ,  $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{3\}, \{2, 3\}\}$  and  $Y = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{\emptyset, Y, \{a\}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_2 = \{\emptyset, Y, \{b, c\}\}$ . Define the mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  as follows:  $f(1) = a$ ,  $f(2) = c$  and  $f(3) = b$ . Then  $Y$  is  $nPT_1$ ,  $f$  is  $p$ -continuous but not nearly  $pT_1$ -continuous.

COROLLARY 1. a) Let  $Y$  be a  $PR_0$  space and let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a nearly  $pT_1$ -continuous mapping. Then  $f$  is  $p$ -continuous.

b) Let  $Y$  be a  $PT_i$  space and let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a nearly  $pT_i$ -continuous mapping. Then  $f$  is  $p$ -continuous.

COROLLARY 2. a) Let  $Y$  be an  $nPT_i$  space and let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $T_i$ -pairwise continuous mapping. Then  $f$  is  $p$ -continuous.

b) Let  $Y$  be a  $PT_i$  space and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $T_i$ -pairwise continuous mapping. Then  $f$  is  $p$ -continuous.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let  $Y$  be a  $PT(i, k)$  bitopological space, for  $i, k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a nearly  $pT_i$ -continuous mapping. Then  $f$  is  $T_k$ -pairwise continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a bitopological space and let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be nearly  $pT_i$ -continuous. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a  $pT_k$ -open cover of  $Y$  and let  $x \in X$ . Since  $Y$  is  $PT(i, k)$ , there exists a  $pT_i$ -open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $Y$  and  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $St(f(x), \mathcal{V}) \subset U$ . Since  $f$  is nearly  $pT_i$ -continuous, there is a  $\tau_j$ -open neighbourhood  $W \subset X$  of  $x$  such that  $f(W) \subset St(f(x), \mathcal{V}) \subset U$ , for  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . Thus  $f$  is  $T_k$ -pairwise continuous. ■

REMARK 3. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a nearly  $pT_3$ -continuous mapping and let  $X$  be a  $p$ -connected space. Then  $Y$  need not be  $p$ -connected [8].

The last Remark is true even if  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  are connected spaces, which follows from

EXAMPLE 7. Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$ ,  $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}\}$  and  $Y = \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{\emptyset, Y, \{2\}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_2 = \{\emptyset, Y, \{3\}, \{1, 3\}\}$ . Define  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  by  $f(b) = 1$  and  $f(a) = f(c) = 3$ . Then  $f$  is nearly  $pT_3$ -continuous,  $X$  is  $p$ -connected,  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  are connected, but  $Y$  is not  $p$ -connected.

REMARK 4. Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$  be a nearly  $pT_i$ -continuous mapping onto a  $PT(i, 3)$  space  $Y$  and let  $(X, \tau_k)$  be connected. Then  $Y$  is  $p$ -connected. The proof follows from Theorem 4.4 in [3] and Proposition 3.3.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. Flether, H. B. Hoyle and C. W. Patty, *The comparison of topologies*, Duke Math. J. **36**, 2 (1969), 325–331
- [2] K. R. Gentry and H. B. Hoyle,  *$T_i$ -continuous functions and separation axioms*, Glasnik mat. **17** (37) (1982), 139–145
- [3] M. Jelić,  *$T_i$ -pairwise continuous functions and bitopological separation axioms*, Mat. vesnik **41**, 3 (1989), 155–159
- [4] C. J. Kelly, *Bitopological spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **13** (1963), 71–89
- [5] M. Mršević, *On bitopological separation axioms*, Mat. vesnik **38** (1986), 313–318
- [6] M. G. Murdeshwar and S. A. Naimpally, *Quasi-uniform topological spaces*, Nordhoff, Groningen 1966.
- [7] J. W. Pervin, *Connectedness in bitopological spaces*, Indag. Math. **29** (1967), 369–372
- [8] M. Przemski, *Nearly  $T_i$ -continuous functions and some separation axioms*, Glasnik mat. **21** (41) (1986), 431–435
- [9] I. L. Reilly, *On bitopological separation properties*, Nanta Math. **5** (1972), 14–25
- [10] J. M. Saegrove, *Pairwise complete regularity and compactification in bitopological spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. **2** (7) (1971), 286–290
- [11] M. K. Singal and A. R. Singal, *Some more separation axioms in bitopological spaces*, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles **84** II (1970), 207–230

(received 08.11.1993.)

Poljoprivredni fakultet, University of Belgrade, 11080 Beograd