

ON QUASI ALMOST LACUNARY STRONG CONVERGENCE
DIFFERENCE SEQUENCE SPACES DEFINED BY
A SEQUENCE OF MODULI

Vakeel A. Khan and Q. M. Danish Lohani

Abstract. The idea of difference sequence sets, $X(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) : \Delta x \in X\}$, where $X = l_\infty, c$ or c_0 was introduced by Kizmaz [3], and then this subject has been studied and generalized by various mathematicians. In this article we define quasi almost Δ^m -Lacunary strongly P-convergent sequences defined by sequence of moduli and give inclusion relations on these sequence spaces.

1. Preliminaries

The difference sequence space $X(\Delta)$ was introduced by Kizmaz [3] as follows

$$X(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : (\Delta x_k) \in X\} \quad \text{for } X = l_\infty, c \text{ or } c_0,$$

where $\Delta x_k = (x_k - x_{k+1})$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

The difference sequence spaces were generalized by Et and Colak [1] as follows

$$X(\Delta^m) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : \Delta^m x = (\Delta^m x_k) \in X\} \quad \text{for } X = l_\infty, c \text{ or } c_0,$$

where $\Delta^m x_k = (\Delta^{m-1} x_k - \Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1})$.

A sequence of positive integers $\theta = (k_r)$ is called “lacunary” if $k_0 = 0$, $0 < k_r < k_{r+1}$ and $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. The intervals determined by θ will be denoted by $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r)$ and $q_r = \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$. The space of lacunary strongly convergent sequence L_θ was defined by Freedman et al. [2] as:

$$L_\theta = \{x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_k - L| = 0 \text{ for some } L\}.$$

The double lacunary sequence was defined by E. Savas and R. F. Patterson [11] as follows: The double sequence $\theta_{r,s} = \{(k_r, l_s)\}$ is called double lacunary if there exists two increasing sequences of integers such that

$$k_0 = 0, h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

AMS Subject Classification: 40C05, 42B15.

Keywords and phrases: Difference sequence; lacunary sequence; sequence of moduli; double sequence.

and

$$l_0 = 0, \bar{h}_s = l_s - l_{s-1} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } s \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let $k_{r,s} = k_r l_s$, $h_{r,s} = h_r \bar{h}_s$ and $\theta_{r,s}$ is determined by

$$I_{r,s} = \{ (k,l) : k_{r-1} < k \leq k_r \text{ and } l_{s-1} < l \leq l_s \},$$

$$q_r = \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}, \bar{q}_s = \frac{l_s}{l_{s-1}} \text{ and } q_{r,s} = q_r \bar{q}_s.$$

DEFINITION 1.1. A function $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called modular if

1. $f(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$,
2. $f(t+u) \leq f(t) + f(u)$ for all $t, u \geq 0$,
3. f is increasing, and
4. f is continuous from the right at 0.

Let X be a sequence space. Then the sequence space $X(f)$ is defined as

$$X(f) = \{ x = (x_k) : (f(|x_k|)) \in X \}$$

for a modulus f ([6],[8],[10]). Kolk [4], [5] gave an extension of $X(f)$ by considering a sequence of moduli $F = (f_k)$ i.e.

$$X(F) = \{ x = (x_k) : (f_k(|x_k|)) \in X \}.$$

A double sequence $x = (x_{k,l})$ has Pringsheim limit L (denoted by $P\text{-lim } x = L$) provided that given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $|x_{k,l} - L| < \epsilon$ whenever $k, l > N$ [9]. We shall denote it briefly as ‘‘P-convergent’’.

Recently Moricz and Rhoades [7] defined almost P-convergent sequences as follows: A double sequence $x = (x_{k,l})$ of real numbers is called almost P-convergent to a limit L if

$$P\text{-}\lim_{p,q \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m,n \geq 0} \frac{1}{pq} \sum_{k=m}^{m+p-1} \sum_{l=n}^{n+q-1} |x_{k,l} - L| = 0.$$

In this paper we introduce the following definition.

A double sequence $x = (x_{k,l})$ of elements of the real vector space w (the space of bounded sequences) in a real normed space X is said to be quasi almost P-convergent to a limit L if

$$\left\| P\text{-}\lim_{p,q \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m,n \geq 0} \frac{1}{pq} \sum_{k=m}^{m+p-1} \sum_{l=n}^{n+q-1} (x_{k,l} - L) \right\|_X = 0.$$

Let us denote the above set of sequences as \bar{t}^2 .

For a sequence $F = (f_k)$ of moduli, we define the following sequence spaces:

$$[L_{\theta_{r,s}}, \Delta^m, F, P] = \{ x = (x_{k,l}) : P\text{-}\lim_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{r,s}} [f_k(\|\Delta^m x_{k+m, l+n} - L\|)]^{p_{k,l}} = 0, \\ \text{uniformly in } m \text{ and } n \text{ for some } L \}.$$

$$[L_{\theta_{r,s}}, \Delta^m, F, P]_0 = \{x = (x_{k,l}) : P\text{-}\lim_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{r,s}} [f_k(\|\Delta^m x_{k+m,l+n}\|)]^{p_{k,l}} = 0, \\ \text{uniformly in } m \text{ and } n \text{ for some } l\}.$$

We shall denote $[L_{\theta_{r,s}}, \Delta^m, F, P]$ and $[L_{\theta_{r,s}}, \Delta^m, F, P]_0$ as $[L_{\theta_{r,s}}, \Delta^m, F]$ and $[L_{\theta_{r,s}}, \Delta^m, F]_0$, respectively when $p_{k,l} = 1$ for all k and l . If x is in $[L_{\theta_{r,s}}, \Delta^m, F]$, we shall say that x is quasi almost lacunary strongly P -convergent with respect to the sequence of moduli $F = (f_k)$. Also note that if $F(x) = x$, $p_{k,l} = 1$ for all k and l then $[L_{\theta_{r,s}}, \Delta^m, F, P] = [L_{\theta_{r,s}}, \Delta^m]$ and $[L_{\theta_{r,s}}, \Delta^m, F, P]_0 = [L_{\theta_{r,s}}^0, \Delta^m]$ which are defined as follows:

$$[L_{\theta_{r,s}}, \Delta^m] = \{x = (x_{k,l}) : P\text{-}\lim_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{r,s}} \|\Delta^m x_{k+m,l+n} - L\| = 0, \\ \text{uniformly in } m \text{ and } n \text{ for some } L\}.$$

and

$$[L_{\theta_{r,s}}^0, \Delta^m] = \{x = (x_{k,l}) : P\text{-}\lim_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{r,s}} \|\Delta^m x_{k+m,l+n}\| = 0, \\ \text{uniformly in } m \text{ and } n\}.$$

Again note that if $p_{k,l} = 1$ for all k and l then $[L_{\theta_{r,s}}, \Delta^m, F, P] = [L_{\theta_{r,s}}, \Delta^m, F]$ and $[L_{\theta_{r,s}}, \Delta^m, F, P]_0 = [L_{\theta_{r,s}}, \Delta^m, F]_0$.

We define

$$[L_{\theta_{r,s}}, \Delta^m, F] = \{x = (x_{k,l}) : P\text{-}\lim_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{r,s}} [f_k(\|\Delta^m x_{k+m,l+n} - L\|)] = 0, \\ \text{uniformly in } m \text{ and } n \text{ for some } L\},$$

and

$$[L_{\theta_{r,s}}, \Delta^m, F]_0 = \{x = (x_{k,l}) : P\text{-}\lim_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{r,s}} [f_k(\|\Delta^m x_{k+m,l+n}\|)] = 0, \\ \text{uniformly in } m \text{ and } n\}.$$

Now we extend quasi almost convergent double sequences to a sequence of moduli as follows: Let $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of moduli and $P = (p_{k,l})$ be any factorable sequence of strictly positive real numbers, we define the following sequence space:

$$[\bar{t}^2, \Delta^m, F, P] = \{x = (x_{k,l}) : P\text{-}\lim_{p,q} \frac{1}{p \cdot q} \sum_{k,l=1}^{p,q} [f_k(\|\Delta^m x_{k+m,l+n} - L\|)]^{p_{k,l}} = 0, \\ \text{uniformly in } m \text{ and } n \text{ for some } L\}.$$

If we take $F(x) = x$, $p_{k,l} = 1$ for all k and l , then $[\bar{t}^2, \Delta^m, F, P] = [\bar{t}^2, \Delta^m]$.

2. Main results

THEOREM 1. *Let $\theta_{r,s} = \{k_r, l_s\}$ be a double lacunary sequence with $\liminf_r q_r > 1$, and $\liminf_s \bar{q}_s > 1$. Then for any sequence of moduli $F = (f_k)$, $[\bar{t}^2, \Delta^m, F, P] \subset [L_{\theta_{r,s}}, \Delta^m, F, P]$.*

Proof. We need to show that $[\bar{t}^2, \Delta^m, F, P]_0 \subset [L_{\theta_{r,s}}, \Delta^m, F, P]_0$. The general inclusion follows by linearity. Suppose $\liminf_r q_r > 1$, and $\liminf_s \bar{q}_s > 1$; then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $q_r > 1 + \delta$. This implies $\frac{h_r}{k_r} \leq \frac{\delta}{\delta+1}$ and $\frac{h_s}{l_s} \leq \frac{\delta}{\delta+1}$. Then for $x \in [\bar{t}^2, \Delta^m, F, P]_0$, we can write for each m and n

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{r,s} &= \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{r,s}} [f_k(\|\Delta^m x_{k+m,l+n}\|)]^{p_{k,l}} = \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} \sum_{l=1}^{l_s} [f_k(\|\Delta^m x_{k+m,l+n}\|)]^{p_{k,l}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{r-1}} \sum_{l=1}^{l_{s-1}} [f_k(\|\Delta^m x_{k+m,l+n}\|)]^{p_{k,l}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{k=k_{r-1}+1}^{k_r} \sum_{l=1}^{l_{s-1}} [f_k(\|\Delta^m x_{k+m,l+n}\|)]^{p_{k,l}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{l=l_{s-1}+1}^{l_s} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{r-1}} [f_k(\|\Delta^m x_{k+m,l+n}\|)]^{p_{k,l}} \\
 &= \frac{k_r k_s}{h_{r,s}} \left(\frac{1}{k_r l_s} \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} \sum_{l=1}^{l_s} [f_k(\|\Delta^m x_{k+m,l+n}\|)]^{p_{k,l}} \right) \\
 &= \frac{k_{r-1} l_{s-1}}{h_{r,s}} \left(\frac{1}{k_{r-1} l_{s-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{r-1}} \sum_{l=1}^{l_{s-1}} [f_k(\|\Delta^m x_{k+m,l+n}\|)]^{p_{k,l}} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k=k_{r-1}+1}^{k_r} \frac{l_{s-1}}{h_s} \frac{1}{l_{s-1}} \sum_{l=1}^{l_{s-1}} \sum_{l=1}^{l_{s-1}} [f_k(\|\Delta^m x_{k+m,l+n}\|)]^{p_{k,l}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{h_s} \sum_{l=l_{s-1}+1}^{l_s} \frac{k_{r-1}}{h_r} \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{r-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{r-1}} [f_k(\|\Delta^m x_{k+m,l+n}\|)]^{p_{k,l}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $x \in [\bar{t}^2, \Delta^m, F, P]$ the last two terms tends to zero uniformly in m, n in the Pringsheim sense, thus for each m and n

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{r,s} &= \frac{k_r k_s}{h_{r,s}} \left(\frac{1}{k_r l_s} \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} \sum_{l=1}^{l_s} [f_k(\|\Delta^m x_{k+m,l+n}\|)]^{p_{k,l}} \right) \\
 &= \frac{k_{r-1} l_{s-1}}{h_{r,s}} \left(\frac{1}{k_{r-1} l_{s-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{r-1}} \sum_{l=1}^{l_{s-1}} [f_k(\|\Delta^m x_{k+m,l+n}\|)]^{p_{k,l}} \right) + o(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $h_{r,s} = k_r l_s - k_{r-1} l_{s-1}$ we are granted for each m and n the following:

$$\frac{k_r l_s}{h_{r,s}} \leq \frac{1+\delta}{\delta} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{k_{r-1} l_{s-1}}{h_{r,s}} \leq \frac{1}{\delta}.$$

The terms

$$\frac{1}{k_r l_s} \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} \sum_{l=1}^{l_s} [f_k(\|\Delta^m x_{k+m,l+n}\|)]^{p_{k,l}}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{r-1}} \sum_{l=1}^{l_{s-1}} [f_k(\|\Delta^m x_{k+m,l+n}\|)]^{p_{k,l}}$$

are both Pringsheim null sequences for all m and n . Thus A_{rs} is Pringsheim. ■

THEOREM 2. *Let $\theta_{r,s} = \{k, l\}$ be a double lacunary sequence with $\limsup_r q_r < \infty$, and $\limsup_s \bar{q}_s < \infty$. Then for any sequence of moduli $F = (f_k)$, $[L_{\theta_{r,s}}, \Delta^m, F, P] \subset [\bar{t}^2, \Delta^m, F, P]$.*

Proof. Since $\limsup_r q_r < \infty$, and $\limsup_s \bar{q}_s < \infty$ there exists $G > 0$ such that $q_r < G$ and $\bar{q}_s < G$ for all r and s . Let $x \in [L_{\theta_{r,s}}, \Delta^m, F, P]$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Also there exist $r_0 > 0$ and $s_0 > 0$ such that for every $i \geq r_0$ and $j \geq s_0$ and m and n ,

$$D'_{i,j} = \frac{1}{h_{ij}} \sum_{(k,l) \in I_{i,j}} [f_k(\|\Delta^m x_{k+m,l+n}\|)]^{p_{k,l}} < \epsilon.$$

Let $F' = \max\{D'_{i,j} : 1 \leq i \leq r_0 \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq s_0\}$ and p and q be such that $k_{r-1} < p \leq k_r$ and $l_{s-1} < q \leq l_s$. Thus we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{pq} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{p,q} [f_k(\|\Delta^m x_{k+m,l+n}\|)]^{p_{k,l}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{k_r,l_s} [f_k(\|\Delta^m x_{k+m,l+n}\|)]^{p_{k,l}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} \sum_{t,u=1,1}^{r,s} \left(\sum_{k,l \in I_{t,u}} [f_k(\|\Delta^m x_{k+m,l+n}\|)]^{p_{k,l}} \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} \sum_{t,u=1,1}^{r_0,s_0} h_{t,u} D'_{t,u} + \frac{1}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} \sum_{(r_0 < t \leq r) \cup (s_0 < u \leq s)} h_{t,u} D'_{t,u} \\ & \leq \frac{F'}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} \sum_{t,u=1,1}^{r_0,s_0} h_{t,u} + \frac{1}{k_{r-1}l_{s-1}} \sum_{(r_0 < t \leq r) \cup (s_0 < u \leq s)} h_{t,u} D'_{t,u} \\ & \leq \frac{F' k_{r_0} l_{s_0} r_0 s_0}{k_{r-1} l_{s-1}} + \left(\sup_{t \geq r_0 \cup u \geq s_0} D'_{t,u} \right) \frac{1}{k_{r-1} l_{s-1}} \sum_{(r_0 < t \leq r) \cup (s_0 < u \leq s)} h_{t,u} \\ & \leq \frac{F' k_{r_0} l_{s_0} r_0 s_0}{k_{r-1} l_{s-1}} + \frac{1}{k_{r-1} l_{s-1}} \epsilon \sum_{(r_0 < t \leq r) \cup (s_0 < u \leq s)} h_{t,u} \\ & \leq \frac{F' k_{r_0} l_{s_0} r_0 s_0}{k_{r-1} l_{s-1}} + \epsilon H^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since k_r and l_s both approach infinity as both p and q approach infinity, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{pq} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{p,q} [f_k(\|\Delta^m x_{k+m,l+n}\|)]^{p_{k,l}} \rightarrow 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \text{ and } n.$$

Therefore $x \in [\bar{t}^2, \Delta^m, F, P]$. ■

As a consequence we obtain

THEOREM 3. *Let $\theta_{r,s} = \{k, l\}$ be a double lacunary sequence with $\liminf_{r,s} q_{rs} \leq \limsup_{r,s} q_{rs} < \infty$. Then for any sequence of moduli $F = (f_k)$, $[L_{\theta_{r,s}}, \Delta^m, F, P] = [\bar{t}^2, \Delta^m, F, P]$.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors would like to record their gratitude to the reviewer for his/her careful reading and making some useful corrections which improved the presentation of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Et and Colak, *On some generalized difference sequence spaces*, Soochow J. Math. **21** (1995), 377–386.
- [2] A. R. Freedman, J.J. Sember and M. Raphael, *Some Cesaro-type summability spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **37(3)** (1978), 508–520.
- [3] H. Kizmaz, *On certain sequence spaces*, Canadian Math. Bull., **24** (2) (1981), 169–176.
- [4] E. Kolk, *On strong boundedness and summability with respect to a sequence of moduli*, Acta Comment. Univ. Tartu, **960** (1993), 41–50.
- [5] E. Kolk, *Inclusion theorems for some sequence spaces defined by a sequence of moduli*, Acta Comment. Univ. Tartu, **970** (1994), 65–72.
- [6] I. J. Maddox, *Sequence spaces defined by a modulus*, Math. Camb. Phil. Soc., **100** (1986), 161–166.
- [7] F. Moricz and B. E. Rhoades, *Almost convergence of double sequences and strong regularity of summability matrices*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **104** (1988), 283–293.
- [8] S. Pehlivan and B. Fisher, *Lacunary strong convergence with respect to a sequence of modulus functions*, Comment Math. Univ. Caroline **36** (1995), 69–76.
- [9] A. Pringsheim, *Zur theorie der zweifach unendlichen Zahlenfolgen*, Math. Annalen, **53** (1900), 289–321.
- [10] W. H. Ruckle, *FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded*, Canad. J. Math., **25** (1973), 973–975.
- [11] E. Savas and R. F. Patterson, *On some double almost lacunary sequences defined by Orlicz function*, FILOMAT, **19** (2005), 35–44.

(received 22.01.2007, in revised form 15.01.2008)

Department of Mathematics, A.M.U., Aligarh, INDIA

E-mail: vakhan@math.com