

RESULTS ON RELATIVE MATLIS REFLEXIVE MODULES WITH RESPECT TO A SEMIDUALIZING MODULE

Maryam Salimi and Elham Tavasoli

Abstract. Let R be a commutative local ring and let C be a semidualizing R -module. In [E. Tavasoli, M. Salimi, *Relative Matlis duality with respect to a semidualizing module*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roum., Nouv. Sér., **66(114)**, **4** (2023), 433–444], the notion of relative Matlis duality with respect to C , and C -Matlis reflexive modules are introduced, which generalized the notions of Matlis duality and Matlis reflexive modules. In this paper, we investigate conditions under which the R -modules $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 0}(M, N)$ and $\text{Tor}_{i \geq 0}^R(M, N)$ become C -Matlis reflexive, where M and N are R -modules. In addition, we deal with the isomorphic modules to the relative Matlis duality of R -modules $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 0}(M, N)$, and $\text{Tor}_{i \geq 0}^R(M, N)$ in the case that M and N are Matlis reflexive modules over the complete ring R .

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R is a commutative Noetherian ring, and we use the notation $E_R(M)$ for the injective envelope of an R -module M . Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring. We define the Matlis dual of M to be $M^\vee = \text{Hom}_R(M, E(R/\mathfrak{m}))$. We say that M is Matlis reflexive if the canonical injection $M \rightarrow M^{\vee\vee}$ is an isomorphism. In [5], it is shown that M is Matlis reflexive if and only if M has a finitely generated submodule S such that M/S is Artinian. Using this characterization, Belshoff in [2] investigated the category of Matlis reflexive modules over a complete local ring. In particular, it is shown that if M and N are Matlis reflexive R -modules, then so are the R -modules $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$, $M \otimes_R N$, $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(M, N)$, and $\text{Tor}_{i \geq 1}^R(M, N)$.

In [11], the notion of relative Matlis duality with respect to a semidualizing R -module was introduced, which gives a generalization of the notion of Matlis duality: for an R -module M , $M^{\vee_C} = \text{Hom}_R(M, C^\vee)$ is the relative Matlis dual of M with respect to a semidualizing R -module C . There is also a natural R -homomorphism $\psi : M \rightarrow (M^{\vee_C})^{\vee_C}$ defined by $\psi(x)(f) = f(x)$ for all $x \in M$ and $f \in M^{\vee_C}$. An

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13D05, 13D45, 18G20

Keywords and phrases: Semidualizing; Matlis duality; Matlis reflexive.

R -module M is called *C -Matlis reflexive* if $M \cong (M^{\vee_C})^{\vee_C}$ under the homomorphism ψ .

In this paper, we investigate the conditions under which $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 0}(M, N)$ and $\text{Tor}_{i \geq 0}^R(M, N)$ become C -Matlis reflexive R -modules. We also provide isomorphisms for R -modules $(\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N))^{\vee_C}$ and $(\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N))^{\vee_C}$ to state the connection between relative Matlis duality with respect to C of these R -modules and Matlis duality, in the case that M and N are Matlis reflexive modules over the complete local ring R . In addition, we investigate the conditions that imply the modules $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 0}(M, N)$ and $\text{Tor}_{i \geq 0}^R(M, N)$ are C -Matlis reflexive R -modules and $\widehat{C}$ -Matlis reflexive $\widehat{R}$ -modules.

2. Relative Matlis reflexive modules

In [11], the notion of relative Matlis duality with respect to a *semidualizing* R -module was introduced, which gives a generalization of the notion Matlis duality over the local ring R . The notion of semidualizing module due to Foxby [7], generalizing Grothendieck's notion of a dualizing module, and introduced independently by Golod [8] and Vasconcelos [12]: a finitely generated R -module C , wherein R is a commutative Noetherian ring, is called semidualizing if the natural homothety morphism $R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, C)$ is an isomorphism and $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(C, C) = 0$. Now we are ready to recall the notion of relative Matlis duality with respect to a semidualizing module over the local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ from [11]: for an R -module M , $M^{\vee_C} = \text{Hom}_R(M, C^\vee)$ is the relative Matlis dual of M with respect to a semidualizing R -module C . There is also a natural R -homomorphism $\psi : M \rightarrow (M^{\vee_C})^{\vee_C}$ defined by $\psi(x)(f) = f(x)$ for all $x \in M$ and $f \in M^{\vee_C}$. We say that an R -module M is *C -Matlis reflexive* if $M \cong (M^{\vee_C})^{\vee_C}$ under the homomorphism ψ . The main goal of this paper is to deal with conditions under which $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 0}(M, N)$ and $\text{Tor}_{i \geq 0}^R(M, N)$ are C -Matlis reflexive R -modules.

In the following, we collect some nice isomorphisms from [11, Proposition 3.2] in order to show the connection between $(-)^{\vee_C}$ and $(-)^{\vee}$.

FACT 2.1. *Let C be a semidualizing R -module, wherein R is a local ring, and let M be an R -module. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) $M^{\vee_C} \cong (C \otimes_R M)^\vee$. (ii) $M^{\vee_C} \cong \text{Hom}_R(C, M^\vee)$.
- (iii) $(M^{\vee_C})^{\vee_C} \cong \text{Hom}_R(C, C \otimes_R M^{\vee\vee})$. (iv) $(M^{\vee_C})^{\vee_C} \cong (\text{Hom}_R(C, C \otimes_R M))^{\vee\vee}$.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a complete local ring, and let $C = E(R/\mathfrak{m})$. By [6, Theorem 3.4.1], $\text{Hom}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{m}), E(R/\mathfrak{m})) \cong R$, and $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(E(R/\mathfrak{m}), E(R/\mathfrak{m})) = 0$. Then C is a semidualizing R -module. It is routine to check that R is a C -Matlis reflexive module.

The classes defined next are collectively known as *Foxby classes*. The definitions are due to Foxby [1, 4].

DEFINITION 2.3. Let C be a semidualizing R -module. The *Auslander class* with respect to C is the class $\mathcal{A}_C(R)$ of R -modules M such that:

(i) $\text{Tor}_i^R(C, M) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^i(C, C \otimes_R M)$ for all $i \geq 1$, and

(ii) the natural map $\gamma_C^M : M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, C \otimes_R M)$ is an isomorphism.

The *Bass class* with respect to C is the class $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$ of R -modules M such that:

(i) $\text{Ext}_R^i(C, M) = 0 = \text{Tor}_i^R(C, \text{Hom}_R(C, M))$ for all $i \geq 1$, and

(ii) the natural evaluation map $\xi_M^C : C \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(C, M) \rightarrow M$ is an isomorphism.

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let C be a semidualizing R -module, wherein R is a local ring, and let $M \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$. Then M is Matlis reflexive if and only if M is C -Matlis reflexive.

Proof. Let M be a Matlis reflexive R -module. Then M is C -Matlis reflexive by [11, Proposition 3.3 (i)]. For the reverse, we have the following R -isomorphisms.

$$M \cong (M^{\vee_C})^{\vee_C} \cong (\text{Hom}_R(C, C \otimes_R M))^{\vee\vee} \cong M^{\vee\vee}.$$

In the above sequence, second isomorphism follows from Fact 2.1 (iv), and the last one follows from the assumption that $M \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$. $\square$

Using [2, Proposition 1] and Proposition 2.4, we have the following results.

PROPOSITION 2.5. Let C be a semidualizing module over local ring R , M be a finitely generated R -module, and let N be a Matlis reflexive R -module. Then the following statements hold.

(i) If $\text{Hom}_R(M, N) \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$, then $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is C -Matlis reflexive.

(ii) If $M \otimes_R N \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$, then $M \otimes_R N$ is C -Matlis reflexive.

(iii) If $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(M, N) \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$, then $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(M, N)$ is C -Matlis reflexive.

(iv) If $\text{Tor}_{i \geq 1}^R(M, N) \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$, then $\text{Tor}_{i \geq 1}^R(M, N)$ is C -Matlis reflexive.

COROLLARY 2.6. Let C be a semidualizing module over local ring R , M be a finitely generated R -module, and let N be a Matlis reflexive R -module. Then the following statements hold.

(i) Let $M \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$. If $\text{id}_R N < \infty$ and $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(M, N) = 0$, then $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is C -Matlis reflexive.

(ii) Let $M \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$. If $\text{fd}_R N < \infty$ and $\text{Tor}_{i \geq 1}^R(M, N) = 0$, then $M \otimes_R N$ is C -Matlis reflexive.

Proof. (i) By [10, Proposition 3.3.16 (a)], $\text{Hom}_R(M, N) \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$. Now the assertion follows from Proposition 2.5 (i).

(ii) By [10, Proposition 3.3.14 (a)], $M \otimes_R N \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$. Now the assertion follows from Proposition 2.5 (ii). $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.7. Let C be a semidualizing module over local ring R , M be an Artinian R -module and let N be a finitely generated R -module. Then the following statements hold.

(i) If $\text{Hom}_R(M, N) \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$, then $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is C -Matlis reflexive.

(ii) Let $M \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$. If $\text{id}_R N < \infty$ and $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(M, N) = 0$, then $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is C -Matlis reflexive.

Proof. (i) By [2, Proposition 4], $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is a Matlis reflexive R -module. Now the assertion follows from Proposition 2.4.

(ii) By [2, Proposition 4], $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is a Matlis reflexive R -module, and [10, Proposition 3.3.16 (a)] implies that $\text{Hom}_R(M, N) \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$. Using Proposition 2.4 we get the assertion. $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.8. *Let R be a complete local ring, C be a semidualizing R -module and let M and N be Matlis reflexive R -modules.*

(i) *If $\text{Hom}_R(M, N) \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$, then $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is C -Matlis reflexive, and $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)^{\vee_C} \cong \text{Hom}_R(C, M \otimes_R N^\vee)$.*

(ii) *Let $M \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$. If $\text{id}_R N < \infty$ and $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(M, N) = 0$, then $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is C -Matlis reflexive, and $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)^{\vee_C} \cong \text{Hom}_R(C, M \otimes_R N^\vee)$.*

(iii) *If $M \otimes_R N \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$, then $M \otimes_R N$ is C -Matlis reflexive, and $(M \otimes_R N)^{\vee_C} \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, N^{\vee_C})$.*

(iv) *Let $M \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$. If $\text{fd}_R N < \infty$ and $\text{Tor}_{i \geq 1}^R(M, N) = 0$, then $M \otimes_R N$ is C -Matlis reflexive, and $(M \otimes_R N)^{\vee_C} \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, N^{\vee_C})$.*

(v) *If $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(M, N) \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$, then $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(M, N)$ is C -Matlis reflexive, and for all $i \geq 0$ we have: $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)^{\vee_C} \cong \text{Hom}_R(C, \text{Tor}_i^R(M, N^\vee))$.*

(vi) *If $\text{Tor}_{i \geq 1}^R(M, N) \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$, then $\text{Tor}_{i \geq 1}^R(M, N)$ is C -Matlis reflexive, and for all $i \geq 0$ we have: $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N)^{\vee_C} \cong \text{Hom}_R(C, \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N^\vee))$.*

Proof. (i) By [2, Theorem 1], $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is a Matlis reflexive R -module, and Proposition 2.4 implies that $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is C -Matlis reflexive. To see the isomorphism we have the following sequence of R -isomorphisms, where the first isomorphism follows from Fact 2.1 (ii), and the second one follows from [2, Theorem 4(a)]:

$$\text{Hom}_R(M, N)^{\vee_C} \cong \text{Hom}_R(C, \text{Hom}_R(M, N)^\vee) \cong \text{Hom}_R(C, M \otimes_R N^\vee).$$

(ii) By [10, Proposition 3.3.16 (a)], $\text{Hom}_R(M, N) \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$. Now the assertion follows from item (i).

(iii) By [2, Theorem 2], $M \otimes_R N$ is a Matlis reflexive R -module and Proposition 2.4 implies that $M \otimes_R N$ is C -Matlis reflexive. To see the isomorphism, we have the following sequence of R -isomorphisms, where the first isomorphism follows from Fact 2.1 (ii), second one follows from [2, Theorem 4(a)], third and forth ones follow from adjointness, and the last one follows from Fact 2.1 (ii).

$$\begin{aligned} (M \otimes_R N)^{\vee_C} &\cong \text{Hom}_R(C, (M \otimes_R N)^\vee) \cong \text{Hom}_R(C, \text{Hom}_R(M, N^\vee)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(C \otimes_R M, N^\vee) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_R(C, N^\vee)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, N^{\vee_C}). \end{aligned}$$

(iv) By [10, Proposition 3.3.14 (a)], $M \otimes_R N \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$. Now the assertion follows from (iii).

Items (v) and (vi) follow from [2, Theorem 4] and Proposition 2.4. To see the isomorphisms, for each i we have:

$$\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(M, N)^{\vee_C} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(C, \mathrm{Ext}_R^i(M, N)^\vee) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(C, \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, N^\vee)),$$

where the first isomorphism follows from Fact 2.1 (ii) and the second one follows from [2, Theorem 4(c)]. Finally,

$$\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, N)^{\vee_C} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(C, \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, N)^\vee) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(C, \mathrm{Ext}_R^i(M, N^\vee)),$$

where the first isomorphism follows from Fact 2.1 (ii) and the second one follows from [2, Theorem 4(d)]. $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.9. *Let C be a semidualizing R -module, wherein R is a local ring, and let M and N be R -modules such that $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(M, N) \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *If M is Matlis reflexive and N is Artinian, then $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(M, N)$ is C -Matlis reflexive.*
- (ii) *If M is Artinian and N is finitely generated, then $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(M, N)$ is C -Matlis reflexive.*
- (iii) *If M and N are Artinian, then $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(M, N)$ is C -Matlis reflexive.*
- (iv) *If M is Artinian and N is Matlis reflexive, then $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(M, N)$ is C -Matlis reflexive.*
- (v) *If M is finitely generated and N is Matlis reflexive, then $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(M, N)$ is C -Matlis reflexive.*
- (vi) *If M and N are Matlis reflexive, then $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(M, N)$ is C -Matlis reflexive.*

Proof. In the light of Proposition 2.4, items (i)–(vi) follow from [2, Proposition 7], [2, Proposition 8], [2, Proposition 9], [2, Proposition 10], [2, Proposition 11] and [2, Theorem 3], respectively. $\square$

Recall that an R -module M is mini-max if there is a Noetherian submodule N of M such that M/N is artinian. In [3, Theorem 12] it is shown that an R -module M is Matlis reflexive if and only if it is mini-max and $R/\mathrm{Ann}_R(M)$ is complete.

REMARK 2.10. Let R be a local ring and let C be a semidualizing R -module. By [10, Proposition 2.2.1], $\widehat{C}$ is a semidualizing $\widehat{R}$ -module. Let M be an $\widehat{R}$ -module. Since $\widehat{R} \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$, [10, Proposition 3.4.6] implies that $M \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$ if and only if $M \in \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{C}}(\widehat{R})$.

PROPOSITION 2.11. *Let C be a semidualizing module over local ring R and let $M \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$ such that $R/\mathrm{Ann}_R(M)$ is complete. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) *M is C -Matlis reflexive over R .*
- (ii) *M is mini-max over R .*
- (iii) *M is mini-max over $\widehat{R}$.*
- (iv) *M is $\widehat{C}$ -Matlis reflexive over $\widehat{R}$.*

Proof. By Remark 2.10, $M \in \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{C}}(\widehat{R})$. Now the assertion follows from [9, Lemma 1.20] and Proposition 2.4. $\square$

DEFINITION 2.12. Let R be a local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and let M be an R -module. For each integer i , the i -th Bass number of M is $\mu_R^i(M) = \text{len}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{m}, M))$, where $\text{len}_R(N)$ denotes the length of an R -module N .

PROPOSITION 2.13. *Let C be a semidualizing R -module over local ring R and let M and N be R -modules such that $R/(\text{Ann}_R(M) + \text{Ann}_R(N))$ is complete and M is Artinian. For each $i \geq 0$ such that $\mu_R^i(N) < \infty$, the module $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ is C -Matlis reflexive over R and $\widehat{C}$ -Matlis reflexive over $\widehat{R}$, provided that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$.*

Proof. By Remark 2.10, $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \in \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{C}}(\widehat{R})$ for each $i \geq 0$. Now the assertion follows from [9, Corollary 2.4] and Proposition 2.4. $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.14. *Let C be a semidualizing R -module over local ring R and let M and N be R -modules such that N is Artinian and M is mini-max. For each $i \geq 0$, the module $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ is $\widehat{C}$ -Matlis reflexive over $\widehat{R}$, provided that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$.*

Proof. By Remark 2.10, $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \in \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{C}}(\widehat{R})$ for each $i \geq 0$. Now the assertion follows from [9, Theorem 2.6] and Proposition 2.4. $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.15. *Let C be a semidualizing R -module over local ring R and let M and N be R -modules. Then the following statements hold.*

(i) *If M is mini-max and N is Noetherian such that $R/(\text{Ann}_R(M) + \text{Ann}_R(N))$ is complete, then $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 0}(M, N)$ is C -Matlis reflexive over R and $\widehat{C}$ -Matlis reflexive over $\widehat{R}$, provided that $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 0}(M, N) \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$.*

(ii) *If M and N are mini-max R -modules such that $R/(\text{Ann}_R(M) + \text{Ann}_R(N))$ is complete, then $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 0}(M, N)$ is C -Matlis reflexive over R and $\widehat{C}$ -Matlis reflexive over $\widehat{R}$, provided that $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 0}(M, N) \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$.*

(iii) *Let M and N be R -modules such that M is mini-max and N is Matlis reflexive. The modules $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 0}(M, N)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 0}(N, M)$ are C -Matlis reflexive over R and $\widehat{C}$ -Matlis reflexive over $\widehat{R}$, provided that $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 0}(N, M)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 0}(M, N)$ belong to $\mathcal{A}_C(R)$.*

Proof. By Remark 2.10, $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 0}(M, N) \in \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{C}}(\widehat{R})$. In the light of Proposition 2.4, items (i)–(iii) follow from [9, Theorem 2.7], [9, Theorem 2.8], and [9, Corollary 2.9], respectively. $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.16. *Let C be a semidualizing R -module over local ring R and let M and N be R -modules such that M is Artinian and $R/(\text{Ann}_R(M) + \text{Ann}_R(N))$ is complete. Then for each $i \geq 0$ such that $\beta_i^R(N) < \infty$, the module $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N)$ is Artinian, C -Matlis reflexive over R and $\widehat{C}$ -Matlis reflexive over $\widehat{R}$, provided that $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N) \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$.*

Proof. By [9, Theorem 3.5], $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N)$ is a Matlis reflexive R -module for each $i \geq 0$. On the other hand, Remark 2.10 implies that $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N) \in \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{C}}(\widehat{R})$ for each $i \geq 0$. Now the assertion follows from Proposition 2.4. $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.17. *Let C be a semidualizing R -module over local ring R and let M and N be R -modules such that M and N are mini-max R -modules, and fix $i \geq 0$. If $R/(\text{Ann}_R(M) + \text{Ann}_R(N))$ is complete, then $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N)$ is C -Matlis reflexive over R and $\widehat{C}$ -Matlis reflexive over $\widehat{R}$, provided that $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N) \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$.*

Proof. By [9, Theorem 3.5], $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N)$ is a Matlis reflexive R -module for each $i \geq 0$. On the other hand, Remark 2.10 implies that $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N) \in \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{C}}(\widehat{R})$ for each $i \geq 0$. Now the assertion follows from Proposition 2.4. $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.18. *Let C be a semidualizing R -module over local ring R and let M and N be R -modules such that M is mini-max, N is Matlis reflexive, and $\text{Tor}_{i \geq 0}^R(M, N) \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$. Then the module $\text{Tor}_{i \geq 0}^R(M, N)$ is C -Matlis reflexive over R and $\widehat{C}$ -Matlis reflexive over $\widehat{R}$.*

Proof. By Remark 2.10, $\text{Tor}_{i \geq 0}^R(M, N) \in \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{C}}(\widehat{R})$. Now the assertion follows from [9, Corollary 3.6] and Proposition 2.4. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] L. L. Avramov, H. B. Foxby, *Ring homomorphisms and finite Gorenstein dimension*, Proc. London Math. Soc. III, **75**(2) (1997), 241–270.
- [2] R. G. Belshoff, *Matlis reflexive modules*, Commun. Algebra, **19**(4) (1991), 1099–1118.
- [3] R.G. Belshoff, E.E. Enochs, J.R. García Rozas, *Generalized Matlis duality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **128**(5) (2000), 1307–1312.
- [4] L. W. Christensen, *Semi-dualizing complexes and their Auslander categories*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **353**(5) (2001), 1839–1883.
- [5] E. E. Enochs, *Flat covers and flat cotorsion modules*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., **92** (2) (1984), 179–184.
- [6] E. Enochs, O. M. G. Jenda, *Relative homological algebra*, De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, Vol. 30, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 2000.
- [7] H. B. Foxby, *Gorenstein modules and related modules*, Math. Scand., **31** (1972), 267–284.
- [8] E. S. Golod, *G-dimension and generalized perfect ideals*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov., **165** (1984), 62–66.
- [9] B. Kubik, M. J. Leamer, S. Sather-Wagstaff, *Homology of artinian and Matlis reflexive modules*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **215** (2011), 2486–2503.
- [10] S. Sather-Wagstaff, *Semidualizing modules*, URL: <http://www.ndsu.edu/pubweb/~ssatherw/>
- [11] E. Tavasoli, M. Salimi, *Relative Matlis duality with respect to a semidualizing module*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roum., Nouv. Sér., **66**(114), **4** (2023), 433–444.
- [12] W. V. Vasconcelos, *Divisor theory in module categories*, North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 14, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1974.

(received 14.12.2023; in revised form 01.02.2025; available online 30.10.2025)

Department of Mathematics, East Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran
E-mail: maryamsalimi@ipm.ir
 ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5937-4195>

Department of Mathematics, East Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran
E-mail: elhamtavasoli@ipm.ir
 ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4584-7120>